

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Addiese: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P O Box 1430 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.wepto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/790,459	03/01/2004	Warren B. Cope	2673	9953
28004 SPRINT	7590 08/24/2009		EXAM	INER
6391 SPRINT		ZENATI, AMAL S		
KSOPHT010 OVERLAND	1-Z2100 PARK, KS 66251-2100	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2614	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			08/24/2009	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)					
10/790,459	COPE, WARREN B.					
Examiner	Art Unit					
AMAL ZENATI	2614					
	10/790,459 Examiner	10/790,459 COPE, WARREN E Examiner Art Unit				

	AMAL ZENATI	2614					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the	correspondence add	ress				
THE REPLY FILED 10 August 2009 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.							
 X The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of App for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 C periods: 	the same day as filing a Notice of replies: (1) an amendment, affidavi eal (with appeal fee) in compliance	Appeal. To avoid abar t, or other evidence, w with 37 CFR 41.31; or	which places the r (3) a Request				
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing	date of the final rejection.						
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire to Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or	ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE	date of the final rejection	on.				
MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date		26/a) and the appropriat	o outonoion foo				
Extensions of urine ring be distanced unless of CFR 1.136q.) If the date for purposes of determining the period of ext under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the experiation date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patient term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL.	ension and the corresponding amount hortened statutory period for reply origi than three months after the mailing dat	of the fee. The appropria nally set in the final Office	ate extension fee te action; or (2) as				
The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed w	sion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the					
<u>AMENDMENTS</u>							
 The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, t They raise new issues that would require further cor They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo 	sideration and/or search (see NO		cause				
 (c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in bet appeal; and/or 	ter form for appeal by materially rec	lucing or simplifying the	ne issues for				
(d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a on NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).	corresponding number of finally reje	ected claims.					
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):		mpliant Amendment (I	PTOL-324).				
Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s).		imely filed amendmer	nt canceling the				
 For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is proving. 		l be entered and an e	xplanation of				
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed:							
Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected:							
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:							
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE							
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bu because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 							
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary 	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea	al and/or appellant fail:	s to provide a				
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	n of the status of the claims after en	ntry is below or attach	ed.				
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but	does NOT place the application in	condition for allowan	ce because:				
12. ☐ Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i> (s). (13. ☑ Other:	PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s).						
	/HUYEN D. LE/ Primary Examiner, Art U	nit 2614					

- 1. Applicants' arguments, with regards to Examiner's rejection under 35 USC § 103(a), filed 10 August, 2009 has been fully considered but they are not persuasive.
- 2. Applicants argue regarding claim 1 on pages 7 9 of the Applicant's Response that Calhoun and Midwest Region, neither separately, nor in combination, teach the recited portion of cliam 1 "in response to the occurrence of the predetermined event, programming a local copy of a local number portability database to direct communications for the at least one phone number to the second switch, wherein the second switch is located in a different geographic area than the first switch, and wherein all the phone numbers actively terminated by the first switch are ported to the second switch.

The Examiner respectfully disagrees with Applicant's arguments, Midwest Region defines Local Number Protability (LNP) as allowing an end-user to change service provider, geographoic location, and service type without having to change their telephone number (Midwest, page 4). The Location Routing Number (LRN) serves as a network address of the Second Switch, not as alternate phone number) in order to direct the call to the second switch since the dialed phone number has been ported to be terminated by the Second Switch instead of the First Switch, then the Recipient Switch/Second Switch completes the call from the callephone number (called party). That can be done by programming local copy of a local number portability database that shows the LNP Routing Tables for porting the phone numbers actively terminated by a first switch to a second switch (Midwest, page 4 and page 5). See also the original specification page 8, lines 3-16.

Furthermore, Calhoun clearly discloses all phone numbers actively terminated by the first switch that programmed/ported in the database to be directed to the second switch when a predetermined event is occered (col.5, lines 11-66). As a result, both Calhoun and Midwes clearly teach claim 1.